UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

FILED

United States of America,) Case	No. 15-cr -0033	? ユーンD	MAY 18 2017
V. Leoh Voughn, Defendant.		JLATED ORDER EX UNDER THE SPEE		THE DISTRICT OF COURT
For the reason stated by the parties on the re Trial Act from Moy 18, 2017, to continuance outweigh the best interest of the 3161(h)(7)(A). The Court makes this finding	e public and th	e defendant in a speed	dy trial. See 18 U	.S.C. §
Failure to grant a continuan See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)		kely to result in a mis	carriage of justic	e.
The case is so unusual or so defendants, the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonable itself within the time limits	e prosecution, ble to expect ac	or the existence of no lequate preparation fo	ovel questions of or pretrial proceed	fact dings or the trial
Failure to grant a continuance would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).				
Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).				
Failure to grant a continuan necessary for effective prep See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)	aration, taking			
For the reasons stated on the 3161(b) and waived with the 5.1(c) and (d).				
For the reasons stated on the 3161(h)(1)(E)(F) for delay r	and the second			
IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: 5/18, 2017		DONNA M. RYU United States Magis	strate Judge	- 70
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant	5	Assistant United Sta		